

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION N	O. Fi	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/806,962		10/19/2001	John Francis Dufort	32414.24.1 2216	
22859	7590	07/14/2004		EXAMINER	
11 (11111111111111111111111111111111111		ROPERTY GROU	MASINICK, MICHAEL D		
	(SON & BY) TH SIXTH S	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 40				2125	
MINNEA	POLIS, MN	55402	DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/806,962	DUFORT, JOHN FRANCIS					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael D Masinick	2125					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addi	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 20 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: ('condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice  I) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	•						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S I 36(a) and the appropriate e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	see MPEP extension fee ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) \( \square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);					
(b) $\square$ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);						
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	implifying the				
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:</li></ul>	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):		•				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	l amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>32 and 36-51</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	•				
<u> </u>	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
_		<del></del>					
Jee Continuation Sheet		LEO PICARD PERVISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTE	EXAMINER				

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's arguments are based fully on who the original inventor of this invention is. The current outstanding rejection in this application is a 102(b) rejection based mainly upon the Shek Affidavits which clearly show public sale, in the United States, of lithophanes generated by the method as claimed. Arguments regarding this rejection are not persuasive.